STIKEMAN ELLIOTT

Stikeman Elliott LLP Barristers & Solicitors

5300 Commerce Court West, 199 Bay Street, Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9 Tel: (416) 869-5500 Fax: (416) 947-0866 www.stikeman.com

DIRECT DIAL: (416) 869-5596 DIRECT FAX: (416) 861-0445 E-MAIL: sromano@stikeman.com

BY TELECOPIER

June 29, 2005

Ontario Securities Commission 20 Queen Street West **Suite 1800** Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8

Attention: Mr. John Stevenson, Secretary

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Re: Comments on Proposed NI 81-107

This letter represents my personal and without prejudice comments (and not those of the firm or any client) with respect to proposed new NI 81-107.

1. A materiality concept needs to be built into the definition of "conflict of interest matter", as is the case with directors' conflicts of interest under corporate law, for example. Otherwise numerous immaterial events will apparently need to go through the IRC, which will entail much cost and time dealing with de minimus matters for no material benefit. I suggest that the best way to handle this might be to add the word "materially" before the word "conflict" in section 1.3(1). In this regard, I also note that section 1.5 has a materiality concept in determining independence. A similar concept is needed to deal with the substantive matters that need to be considered by the IRC.

TORONTO

MONTREAL OTTAWA

2. As is the case with the other key conflict of interest rule of the OSC, OSC Rule 61-51 (see section 5.1(h)), the IRC review provisions of NI 81-107 should not interfere with pre-existing contractual rights. For example, if employees of a manager or its affiliates (or the affiliate itself for that matter) own units of a VANCOUVER related mutual fund, they should not be in any way restricted from, among other things, voting or redeeming their units by the rule.

CALGARY

NEW YORK

LONDON

HONG KONG

SYDNEY

Thank you for considering these comments.

Yours truly,

Simon Romano

SAR/he

4977361 v1