

5650 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M2M 4H5

416 228.5900 or 1877 812,7989

fax: 416 730.5349

www.otpp.com

January 9, 2008

British Columbia Securities Commission Alberta Securities Commission Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers Nova Scotia Securities Commission New Brunswick Securities Commission Office of the Attorney General, Prince Edward Island Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador Registrar of Securities, Government of Yukon Registrar of Securities. Department of Justice. Government of the Northwest Territories Registrar of Securities, Legal Registries Division, Department of Justice, Government of Nunavut c/o Ontario Securities Commission 20 Queen Street West Suite 1900, Box 55 Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8 Attention: John Stevenson, Secretary to the Commission (istevenson@osc.gov.on.ca)

Dear Sirs:

Re: Request for comment - proposed amendments to National Instrument 51-102

proxy solicitation provisions

Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan ("Teachers") is an independent corporation responsible for investing over \$100 billion in assets and administering the pensions of Ontario's 271,000 active and retired teachers. Teachers' is one of Canada's largest institutional investors, with significant equity and debt investments in many Canadian reporting issuers.

We have reviewed the proposed amendments to the proxy solicitation provisions of National Instrument 51-102 and Companion Policy 51-102 published for review and comment on October 12, 2007. We are in favour of the proposed amendments.

We believe that "solicitation" via a public broadcast, speech or publication that includes the prescribed information should be an accepted method by which investors can make their

Page 2

views known on upcoming corporate votes and solicit support for their views, without incurring the significantly cumbersome and expensive proxy requirements.

As stated by Professor Poonam Puri in 2004, "Th[e] lack of harmony in corporate and securities laws in respect of proxy solicitation and exemptions forces uncertainty, unfairness and unpredictability onto investors." In our view, this uncertainty, unfairness and unpredictability is inappropriate.

In addition to the proposed amendments to NI 51-102, further amendments are required to various Canadian business corporation and special incorporation statutes, in order to modernize their respective proxy solicitation provisions and harmonize them with the model that should soon be in place in NI 51-102 as well as the federal and Ontario business corporation statutes. It is unreasonable to have inconsistent proxy solicitation regimes from jurisdiction to jurisdiction within Canada, and to have numerous corporate proxy solicitation regimes in place that effectively negate the updated approach already in place (and hopefully soon to be further updated by these amendments) in NI 51-102. We encourage the CSA to liaise with the responsible parties in the various Canadian jurisdictions which have incorporation statutes including proxy solicitation requirements that are inconsistent with those set out in NI 51-102 (as proposed to be amended), in an effort to create consistent and updated proxy solicitation provisions across the country.

Please contact me if you wish to discuss these comments.

Yours truly,

Michael Padfield

Senior Legal Counsel, Investments

¹ A Rational Allocation of Responsibility Between Corporate & Securities Laws in Canada (commissioned by the Government of Canada, Industry Canada, 2004), at page 32.