

Direct Dial: (514) 847-4829 Direct Fax: (514) 286-5474 cdube@ogilvyrenault.com

SENT BY E-MAIL/ORIGINAL BY MAIL

Montréal, May 12, 2008

British Columbia Securities Commission Alberta Securities Commission Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers New Brunswick Securities Commission Superintendent of Securities, Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia Securities Commission Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador Registrar of Securities, Northwest Territories Registrar of Securities, Nunavut Registrar of Securities, Yukon

Me Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal (Québec) H4Z 1G3

Fax: (514) 864-6381

E-mail: consultation-en-cours@autorite.qc.ca

Gordon Smith British Columbia Securities Commission P.O. Box 10142, Pacific Centre 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, British Columbia V7Y 1L2

Fax: (604) 899-6814

E-mail: gsmith@bcsc.bc.ca

Dear Sir/Dear Madam:

Comments on Proposed Amendments to Regulation 45-106 respecting Prospectus RE: and Registration Exemptions ("NI 45-106")

This letter is submitted in response to the request for comments made by the Canadian Securities Administrators ("CSA") on the above proposed amendments.

We would like to submit two comments regarding the new private issuer exemption in Section 2.4 of NI 45-106.

Barristers & Solicitors, Patent Agents & Trade-mark Agents Suite 1100 1981 McGill College Avenue Montréal, Quebec H3A 3C1 Canada

Telephone (514) 847-4747 Fax (514) 286-5474

ogilvyrenault.com

Toronto





First, we appreciate that you have added "an employee of the issuer or an affiliate of the issuer" to the list of persons to whom securities could be distributed in subsection 2(b) of Section 2.4. The current version does not have this category of persons. However, we think that "a director and an officer of an affiliate of the issuer" should be added to this new category since, as it is the case for an employee, they are presumed to be informed persons because of their function and relationship with the issuer.

Finally, there are two small typos in subsections 2(j) and (k) of Section 2.4 which, at the end, should refer to (i) instead of (h).

* * * * * *

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours very truly,

Christine Dubé

CZD/rc

cc: Francis Legault, Ogilvy Renault LLP

Solomon Sananes, Ogilvy Renault LLP