

From: Lu, Ting [mailto:Ting.Lu@wardrop.com]
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2010 10:51 AM
To: Sheryl A Thomson
Subject: NI 43-101 Comments
Importance: High

Dear Sheryl ,

I am a design metallurgist. Preparing NI 43-101 technical report is part of my daily work. After attending two workshop of NI 43-101 this year, I would like to send some comments in the point view of a metallurgist on the proposed Form 43-101F1.

General Comments

-It is great to see metallurgical sections are specified. I really appreciate it.

-But, I am still wondering where should we present process engineer data verification, site /lab visit information especially with the advanced mineral project?

Specific Comments

Item 13: Miner Process and Metallurgical Testing

-Representative samples are key in process plant design. The related discussion should be placed ahead of the test results discussion.

-Could it be possible to clearly explain what process factors are in the Item (13) (d)?

-Should we include by-product elements in Item (13) (d)? These elements can be low grade in the raw ore, but be concentrated in the final product. Additionally these elements will be priced in most cases.

Item 17: Recovery Methods

-Can we use Mineral Processing Methods or Processing Methods instead of Recovery Methods as the title of item 17?

Thanks again for your time and help. Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Best regards,
Ting

Ting Lu, M.Sc., P. Eng. | Metallurgist
Phone: +1.604.408.3788, ext. 377 | Fax: +1.604.408.3722
ting.lu@wardrop.com<mailto:ting.lu@wardrop.com>

Wardrop, A Tetra Tech Company | Mining & Minerals
800 - 555 West Hastings Street | Vancouver, BC V6B 1M1 | Canada |

www.wardrop.com<<http://www.wardrop.com/>>

People, Passion, Performance. Trusted Globally.