

Vancouver, July 23, 2010

British Columbia Securities Commission Alberta Securities Commission Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission – Securities Division Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers New Brunswick Securities Commission Registrar of Securities, Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia Securities Commission Securities Commission Securities Commission Securities Commission Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

Attention:

Sheryl Thomson Senior Legal Counsel, British Columbia Securities Commission PO Box 10142 Pacific Centre 701 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V7Y 1L2

And

Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Finance Corporate Secretary Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3

## Re: Proposed Repeal and Replacement of National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects, Form 43-101F1 Technical Report, and Companion Policy 43-101CP

Dear Sirs:

We have reviewed the Proposed Repeal and Replacement of National Instrument 43-101 *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects*, Form 43-101F1 *Technical Report*, and Companion Policy 43-101CP and offer the following comment:

Endeavour Financial International Corporation PO Box 1793 · Cayman Corporate Centre · 1<sup>st</sup> Floor · 27 Hospital Road · George Town · Grand Cayman · KY1 1109 · BWI Tel: (345) 946-7603 · Fax: (345) 946-7604 · www.endeavourfinancial.com We are in agreement with the proposed Amended Mining Rule and the Consequential Amendments and view these changes as positive enhancements. In addition, we offer the following answers to the specific questions posed:

## Short form prospectus trigger

1. Do you rely on technical reports when making, or advising on, investment decisions in a short form prospectus offering? If yes, please explain how the content of a technical report, or the certification of a technical report by a qualified person, could influence your investment decisions or your recommendations.

No

2. Do you think we should keep, or eliminate, the short form prospectus trigger? Please explain your reasoning.

The short form prospectus trigger should be eliminated. This is based on the view that the required process of Continuous Disclosure adequately covers the disclosure needs under these circumstances. In addition, the elimination of the triggers reduces costs, and more importantly, assists in reducing delays.

3. Please discuss how your answers to questions 1 and 2 might change in each of the three cases described in the table.

No change.

4. If we decide to eliminate the short form prospectus trigger, is the proposed guidance in subsection 4.2(13) of the Amended Companion Policy useful? Do you have any suggestions concerning this guidance?

The guidance is useful and we do not suggest any changes.

## New exemption for property acquisition with current technical report

5. Is the proposed new exemption relating to an acquired property helpful? Is it reasonable to expect that issuers will use the new exemption in light of the attached conditions?

We believe that the exemption is helpful and that it is reasonable to expect issuers to use the new exemption in light of the attached conditions.

## Existing exemption from site visit requirement

6. Do market participants use this exemption? Should we keep it in the Amended Instrument?

We note that in our experience this exemption is rarely used. We also believe that it should be kept in the Amended Instrument.

We appreciate the opportunity to participate in this Request for Comment.

Yours sincerely,

 $\frown$ David Laing

Director of Mining Endeavour Financial

Cc Gord Keep, Fiore Corporation Doug Reddy, Endeavour Financial