

Geneviève Ouellet Senior Counsel CIBC Legal Department - Quebec La Tour CIBC 1155 René-Lévesque Blvd. West

Suite 1020 Montréal (Québec) H3B 3Z4

tel.: (514) 876-2073 fax: (514) 876-4735

email: genevieve.ouellet@cibc.com

September 24, 2010

TO: British Columbia Securities Commission

Alberta Securities Commission

Saskatchewan Financial Services Commission

Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers New Brunswick Securities Commission

Registrar of Securities, Prince Edward Island

Nova Scotia Securities Commission

Superintendent of Securities, Newfoundland and Labrador

Superintendent of Securities, Northwest Territories

Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory

Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

John Stevenson, Secretary

Ontario Securities Commission 20 Queen Street West Suite 1903, Box 55 Toronto ON M5H 3S8

Via Email: jstevenson@osc.gov.on.ca

M^e Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary

Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22 étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montreal (Québec) H4Z 1G3

Via Email: consultation-en-cours@lautorite.gc.ca

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

RE: RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO NI 81-102

AND NI 81-106

The purpose of this letter is to comment on the Canadian Securities Administrators' ("CSA") request for comments on *Proposed Amendments to National Instrument 81-102 Mutual Funds and National Instrument 81-106 Investment Fund Continuous Disclosure and Related Consequential Amendments* (the "Proposals"), specifically, on the proposed amendments relating to money market funds (the "MMF Amendments"). This letter is submitted on behalf of the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce and its affiliates (collectively, "CIBC").

We would like to thank the CSA for the opportunity to provide our comments on the Proposals.

We have seen a draft of the Canadian Bankers Association (CBA) and The Investment Funds Institute of Canada (IFIC) comment letters with respect to the MMF Amendments and generally support the points made. Specifically, we do not agree with the proposed combined 90- and 120-day dollar-weighted average term to maturity limit and the reduction of the 90-day limit on dollar-weighted average term to maturity limit for the reasons exposed in the IFIC and CBA comment letter.

We believe that imposing a further restriction of a maximum term to maturity of 120-day with the term of the floating rate notes (FRNs) set to the final maturity date is overly restrictive and could potentially cause problems in managing money market funds as well as penalize unitholders through a reduction in the running yield to the fund. Alternatively, with the objective of providing a reasonable yield for unitholders and the flexibility of investing in higher yielding FRNs within a risk constrained framework, we would support the inclusion of a concentration limit and a term to maturity on FRNs. We believe that a concentration limit of 20% on FRNs and a maximum term limit of 5 years on any single FRN would be reasonable.

We would also like to obtain clarification from the CSA on the new liquidity requirement in subsections 2.18(1)(d)(i) and (ii), and more particularly, on the phrase "readily convertible into cash". We are concerned that the proposed changes would force money market funds to maintain 5% of their assets in overnight deposits or 15% or their assets in one week money market instruments. It is our view that fundamentally the vast majority of money markets asset are liquid and readily convertible into cash under normal markets conditions. If money market funds are required to hold such percentage in overnight deposits or very short-term money market instruments on a continuous basis, we submit this would be detrimental to the unitholders of the fund as it would force a great deal of money into expensive overnight deposits and one week money market instruments with limited availability on the market place.

Thank you for this opportunity to provide our comments. Please do not hesitate to communicate with the undersigned at the number appearing above should you have any questions regarding the foregoing or wish to discuss it further.

Yours truly,

/s/ Geneviève Ouellet

Geneviève Ouellet Senior Counsel, Legal Department