

## DAUNTLESS ENERGY INC.

Suite 1410 606 Fourth Street S.W. Calgary, AB T2P ITI T 403.269.2933 F 403.264.2179

## C.E. (Chuck) Shultz

Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

June 20, 2013.

British Columbia Securities Commission Alberta Securities Commission Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan Manitoba Securities Commission Ontario Securities Commission Autorité des marchés financiers Superintendent of Securities, Prince Edward Island Nova Scotia Securities Commission New Brunswick Securities Commission Securities Commission of Newfoundland and Labrador Superintendent of Securities, Yukon Territory Superintendent of Securities, Northwest **Territories** Superintendent of Securities, Nunavut

c/o Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Vicotira, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3 Fax: 514-864-6381

Email: <a href="mailto:consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca">consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca</a>

-AND-

The Secretary
Ontario Securities Commission
20 Queen Street West
19<sup>th</sup> Floor, Box 55
Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S8
Fax: 416-593-2318

Email: comments@osc.gov.on.ca

## To Whom It May Concern:

I have reviewed the Canada Securities Administrator's proposed National Instrument 62-105 regarding security shareholders rights plan (the "CSA Proposal") and the Autorité 'des marchés financiers (AMF) consultation paper regarding defensive tactics (the "AMF Proposal").

I do not support the CSA Proposal because short term interests, particularly from US institutions, will continue to eliminate Canadian corporations by putting the corporation in play into transaction driven markets. If we do not level the playing field, we will continue to lose high performing Canadian corporations due to actions of short term driven parties with minimal share holdings. This short sighted proposal makes a mockery of the Board's consideration of longer term value creation and social license to operate.

I strongly support the AMF Proposal which would require Board's to act in the best interest of all shareholders, and consider the stakeholders interests, thus some consideration of the social license. Board's must be responsible and be subject to court reviews for their actions. Recent takeovers of high performing Canadian companies should not have been allowed under the old rules and the CSA Proposal promulgates these rules in an arbitrary manner.

The Institute of Corporate Directors (ICD) position, in my opinion, fully substantiates these premises.

Yours truly,

C.E. (Chuck) Shultz, ICD.D, F. ICD