



P.O. Box 2844 150 – 6th Avenue S.W Calgary, AB T2P 3E3 Tel 403-296-8000 Fax 403-296-3030 www.suncor.com

March 19, 2014

Alberta Securities Commission
Autorité des marches financiers
British Columbia Securities Commission
Manitoba Securities Commission
New Brunswick Securities Commission
Nova Scotia Securities Commission
Ontario Securities Commission

Mr. John Stevenson
Secretary
Ontario Securities Commission
20 Queen Street West
Suite 1900, Box 55
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 3S8
comments@osc.gov.on.ca

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. Anne-Marie Beaudoin Corporate Secretary Autorité des marches financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, Tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3

Consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca

RE: Comments on CSA Staff Notice 91-304, Model Provincial Rule – Derivatives: Customer Clearing and Protection of Customer Collateral and Positions.

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

I. INTRODUCTION

Suncor Energy Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively "Suncor") hereby respectfully submit this letter in response to the request for public comment set forth in the Canadian Securities Administrators (the "CSA") Staff Notice 91-304 (the "Proposed Customer Collateral Rule") along with accompanying Explanatory Guidance (the "Explanatory Guidance"). Suncor appreciates the opportunity to submit comments on a few select issues that will impact the customers of clearing brokers regulated under this proposal. Suncor welcome the opportunity to provide comments on this matter and looks forward to further working with the CSA throughout the derivatives regulatory reform process and through its participation in the Alberta Securities Commission Derivatives Advisory Committee.

Suncor is the fifth largest North American energy company and is headquartered in Calgary, Alberta. Suncor's operations include oil sands development and upgrading, conventional and offshore oil and gas production, petroleum refining, and product marketing (under the Petro-Canada brand). Suncor's common shares are listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange and the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "SU". Suncor's energy trading

CSA Staff Notice 91-304, Model Provincial Rule – Derivatives: Customer Clearing and Protection of Customer Collateral and Positions, 37 OSCB 787 (Jan. 16, 2014), available at http://www.osc.gov.on.ca/en/SecuritiesLaw csa 20140116 91-304 derivatives-clearing-protection.htm.

business is organized around four main commodity groups: – (i) crude oil; (ii) natural gas; (iii) sulphur; and (iv) petroleum coke. Suncor's customers include mid- to large-sized commercial and industrial consumers, utility companies, and energy producers. The energy trading business is used as a mechanism to support Suncor's oil sands production by optimizing price realizations, managing inventory levels during unplanned outages at Suncor's facilities, and managing the impacts of external market factors, like pipeline disruptions or outages at refining customers. The energy trading business has entered into arrangements in respect of midstream infrastructure, such as pipeline and storage capacity, to optimize delivery of existing and future growth production, while generating trading earnings on select strategies and opportunities.

Suncor's submission in this comment letter is consistent with the positions outlined in the comment letter on the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule submitted by Sutherland Asbill & Brennan LLP on behalf of The Canadian Commercial Energy Working Group (the "Working Group"). The Working Group is comprised of a diverse group of producers, processors, merchandisers, and owners of energy commodities active in the Canadian energy industry whose primary business activity is the physical delivery of one or more energy commodities to others, including industrial, commercial, and residential consumers. Suncor is supportive of the efforts of the Working Group to consider and respond to the CSA's requests for comment regarding developments with respect to the trading of energy commodities, including derivatives, in Canada.

II. COMMENTS OF SUNCOR

A. The CSA Should Design its Collateral Segregation Rules so that Canadian Entities Can Access Cleared Swaps Markets in the United States at No Additional Cost.

Given the global nature of derivatives markets, it is imperative that Canadian market participants have efficient access to trading and clearing across the globe. As drafted, the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule would apply to not only clearing members, clearing intermediaries, and derivatives clearing agencies that are domiciled in or have a principal place of business in Canada, but also to any clearing member, clearing intermediary, or derivatives clearing agency that "has received or holds property with respect to the cleared derivative" of an entity that is domiciled in or has a principal place of business in Canada. The CSA must take care to draft and apply these rules in a manner that does not hinder the access of Canadian entities to markets in the United States and elsewhere.

In that vein, Suncor appreciates that the collateral protection régime contemplated in the CSA's Proposed Customer Collateral Rule is structurally very similar to the legal segregation with operational commingling model adopted by the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC"). This similarity should facilitate access for Canadian entities to derivatives trading markets in the United States. Suncor respectfully requests that the CSA continue to carefully consider the implications for Canadian companies that trade derivatives in foreign markets as it works to finalize the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule.

B. The CSA Should Clarify the Amount of Customer Collateral Clearing Members Must Hold.

The Proposed Customer Collateral Rule is similar to the CFTC's original proposal on similar issues.² Specifically, under the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule, a clearing member "must <u>at all times</u> maintain property in one or more customer accounts at the derivatives clearing agency that is at least equal to the total amount of collateral required by the derivatives clearing agency for the cleared derivatives of its customer(s)." (emphasis added). ³ Similarly, under the CFTC Proposal, a clearing broker would have been required to maintain at all times a residual interest in each class of customer funds accounts sufficient to exceed the sum of all customer margin deficits.⁴

The CFTC Proposal would have required clearing brokers to continuously monitor their customers' positions and near instantaneously cover any customer collateral shortfalls with their own capital. The Proposed Customer Collateral Rule could be interpreted to impose a similar requirement. This is a cause for concern since there is a significant difference in levels of required collateral between a system (i) where collateral levels must be maintained, whether by the temporary use of clearing intermediary or clearing member proprietary funds or through frequent customer collateral calls, continuously and (ii) where collateral must be at appropriate levels at one point during the day.⁵

In response to market participants' concerns, the CFTC's final rule on this subject did not require continuous margin maintenance and instead required clearing brokers to calculate and cure collateral deficits with their capital prior to the end of the day. If the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule requires continuous compliance, it would likely increase margin costs for market participants significantly. Therefore, Suncor respectfully requests that the CSA amend the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule so that it is clear that clearing intermediaries and clearing members are required to cure any customer collateral shortfall by the end of the relevant day and not on a continuous basis.

⁴ See CFTC Proposal, Proposed Rules 1.20(i)(4), 22.2(f)(6), and 30.7(a), 78 Fed. Reg. at 67,941, 67,955, and 67,957.

-

See, e.g., CFTC Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Enhancing Protections Afforded Customers and Customer Funds Held by Futures Commission Merchants and Derivatives Clearing Organizations ("CFTC Proposal"), 77 Fed. Reg. 67,866 (Nov. 14, 2012), available at http://www.cftc.gov/ucm/groups/public/@lrfederalregister/documents/file/2012-26435a.pdf.

Proposed Customer Collateral Rule, 37 OSCB at 792.

See Futures Industry Association ("FIA") Comment Letter on the CFTC Proposal, Costs of the Commission's Proposed Residual Interest Requirement Compared with the FIA Alternative 1 (June 20, 2013), available at http://comments.cftc.gov/PublicComments/ViewComment.aspx?id=59283&SearchText, see also CME, Group Inc. Comment Letter on the CFTC Proposal 5-6 (Feb.15, 2013), available at http://comments.cftc.gov/PublicComments/ViewComment.aspx?id=59206&SearchText.

See CFTC Final Rule, Enhancing Protections Afforded Customers and Customer Funds Held by Futures Commission Merchants and Derivatives Clearing Organizations, 78 Fed. Reg. 68,506 (Nov. 14, 2013), available at http://www.cftc.gov/ucm/groups/public/@lrfederalregister/documents/file/2013-26665a.pdf; CFTC Regulation 1.22(c)(2), 17 C.F.R. § 1.22(c)(2).

C. The CSA Should Ensure that Customer Positions and Collateral Can be Transferred in an Efficient Manner.

The ability of customers of clearing intermediaries and clearing members to quickly and efficiently transfer positions and related collateral between accounts with different clearing members or clearing intermediaries is of paramount importance. The ability to transfer positions and related collateral can allow customers to avoid the adverse consequences of a clearing member or clearing intermediary default.

The collapse of MF Global, though it occurred in the United States, is a primary example of why portability of positions is important to derivatives end-users. MF Global's default, even though its customers managed to recover 98% of their funds over a two-year period, imposed significant costs in the form of time, money, and effort on such customers.

In addition, making positions easily portable will impose competitive discipline on clearing members and intermediaries. Said another way, the ability to move positions and collateral will provide customers with a real choice as to who serves as their clearing broker as they will be able to respond efficiently to costs and burdens imposed by their existing broker by moving their business to a competitor.

The Proposed Customer Collateral Rule does provide a mechanism for the transfer of customer positions and collateral between clearing members and clearing intermediaries. However, the proposal is high-level and does not provide sufficient detail that would allow conclusions to be drawn on how customer positions and collateral could be moved. It is crucial that the mechanism for transfer of customer positions and collateral allows such transfer to happen as quickly and efficiently as possible.

D. Request for Clarification Regarding Excess Customer Collateral and a Request Regarding Future Portfolio Margining.

There are two additional points Suncor would like to address. *First*, the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule appears to contemplate derivatives clearing agencies holding excess customer collateral.⁸ It is Suncor's understanding that, typically, excess collateral is required and held by clearing members and clearing intermediaries and not a derivatives clearing agency. As such, Suncor requests additional clarification as to when a derivatives clearing agency would be in a position to hold excess customer collateral.

Second, under the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule, market participants would be prohibited from portfolio margining across derivatives and other products, such as futures or cleared physical transactions. Though such portfolio margining may not be permitted at this point, there may be future circumstances where portfolio margining may be feasible and offer value to market participants. Therefore, Suncor requests that the Proposed Customer Collateral

Julie Steinberg, *MF Global Repayments Near: Trustee James Giddens Files Motion on Funds*, The Wall Street Journal (Oct. 2, 2013), http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702304906704579111750197462292.

See, e.g., Proposed Customer Collateral Rule, Part II, Sec. 5 Excess Margin, 37 OSCB at 792.

Rule not prohibit future portfolio margining outright and provide a mechanism to allow portfolio margining in the future.

III. CONCLUSION

Suncor thanks the CSA for the opportunity to comment on the Proposed Customer Collateral Rule and respectfully requests that the CSA consider the comments set forth herein as it finalizes this rule.

Should the Committee have any questions, or if Suncor may be of further assistance, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly, Suncor Energy Inc.

Curtis Serra Director, Legal Affairs Supply, Trading & Corporate Development

cc: Ms. Debra MacIntyre
Alberta Securities Commission
debra.macintyre@asc.ca

Mr. Kevin Fine Ontario Securities Commission kfine@osc.gov.on.ca

Mr. Michael Brady British Columbia Securities Commission mbrady@bcsc.bc.ca

Mr. Derek West Autorité des marchés financiers derek.west@lautorite.qc.ca

Mr. Dean Murrison Financial and Consumer Affairs Authority of Saskatchewan Dean.Murrison@gov.sk.ca

Mr. Doug Brown
Manitoba Securities Commission
doug.brown@gov.mb.ca

Mr. Abel Lazarus Nova Scotia Securities Commission lazaruah@gov.ns.ca Ms. Wendy Morgan New Brunswick Securities Commission wendy.morgan@nbsc-cvmnb.ca