## denise.weeres@asc.ca

Denise Weeres
Manager, Legal, Corporate Finance
Alberta Securities Commission
250 – 5th Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 0R4

consultation-en-cours@lautorite.qc.ca

Me Anne-Marie Beaudoin Directrice du sécretariat Autorité des marchés financiers 800, square Victoria, 22e étage C.P. 246, tour de la Bourse Montréal, Québec H4Z 1G3

## comments@osc.gov.on.ca

The Secretary
Ontario Securities Commission
20 Queen Street West
22nd Floor
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8

## Re: CSA Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

and

## Dear Madams:

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular the proposed annual investment limits for non-accredited investors.

The freedom to invest in the exempt market should not be limited to the very rich, with everyone else having a small annual contribution limit. I am an experienced investor building an investment portfolio. This is an individualized process and I should not have my choices and options limited based on "boxed" annual contribution room limits because of the bad past experienced by a small percentage of the investing population who chose not to diversify.

I, like some other investors, do not look at the illiquidity in exempt market investments as a "risk" and actually prefer having some of my assets sheltered from fluctuations of the public markets. The Canadian Pension Plan, my workplace pension and my other "lower" risk investments balance the higher risk I am taking in the residential market.

Additionally, individuals have the freedom to:

- o risk as much money as they want gambling without any oversight
- o buy a car that depreciates as soon as it drives off the lot without any oversight
- o borrow more than they should to buy a house without any oversight
- o take out a line of credit for a vacation without any oversight
- o max out their credit cards and pay absurd interest rates without any oversight

Therefore, why should my investment choices be reduced when working with a registrant of the securities commission?

I believe this is an example of the government and its regulatory regime overstepping their bounds and interfering with my personal choices and freedoms as an investor. I do not wish to have government policy ultimately decide where and how I should invest their money, it is a highly personal decision based not only on my income and net worth, but my sophistication, risk tolerance, goals and preferences.

I understand there are risks in any market. I am aware of the risks (and opportunities) of investing in the exempt market and believe existing rules are adequate. I have worked and saved my whole life, accumulated a reasonable nest egg, and don't want to have an arbitrary annual contribution limit placed on how much I can invest in anything.

As a Canadian living abroad, I appreciate the investment choices I have made with Prestigious Properties. I have made a significant amount on my exempt market investments, and I want the option to keep investing the amount I see fit, based on suitability, for my situation. The added complexity of living abroad had been significantly eased by the current market rules. These adjustments would add a larger and I believe unnecessary burden to the investing process.

This submission is being made on my own behalf.

If you would like further elaboration on my comments, please feel free to contact me at <a href="mailto:psychkev@hotmail.com">psychkev@hotmail.com</a>.

Regards,

Kevin Fisher Psychologist Canadian living abroad

CC:

Honourable Doug Horner Minister of Finance, Alberta doug.horner@gov.ab.ca

Honourable Charles Sousa Minister of Finance, Ontario <u>charles.sousa@ontario.ca</u>

Cora Pettipas Vice President, National Exempt Market Association cora@nemaonline.ca