The following comment letters were submitted to the OSC and are identical or substantively the same. The names of the commenters that submitted these letters are set out below.

No.	Commenter		
1.	Abercrombie, Dave		
2.	Abercrombie, Richard		
3.	Abercrombie, Robin		
4.	Archibald, Lynn		
5.	Bell, Lynda		
6.	Bell, Matthew		
7.	Bridge, David J.		
8.	Bridge, Donna		
9.	Crompton, Greg		
10.	Crompton, Kenneth G.		
11.	Crompton, Susan E.		
12.	Eddy-Wright, Trish		
13.	Hayashi, Jay		
14.	Hobson, Margaret		
15.	Johnson, Rob		
16.	Keen, Brian		
17.	Keen, Joanne		
18.	Kippan, Robin		
19.	Link, Dryde		
20.	Link, Frederick Gerald		
21.	McCarthy, Vance		
22.	McCaughan, Jim		
23.	McKenzie, Dale		
24.	McKenzie, Jean		
25.	Prette, James A.		
26.	Primrose, Maureen		
27.	Robertson, Bryson		

	28.	Robertson, Jessica
	29.	Serres, Jean
	30.	Stevens, Kimberley
	31.	Warren, David Colin
	32.	Warren, Lorraine
	33.	Whittome, Chad

<u>Joe Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC VBW 9E2

Date: July 10th, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

ave Ahercrowbe

PRINT NAME

cc: Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca

Generated by CamScanner

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

hard Abarcrombaie

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria. BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

berrombie

PRINT NAME

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

The 20/14 Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards, **PRINT NAME** 1749 Capistrano Drive BC NIN 22Z Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca cc:

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance **Department of Finance Canada** 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5

fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular the proposed annual investment limits for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to any restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

Lynda Bell PRINT NAME

Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigm.ca cc:

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

Matthew Bell

PRINT NAME

cc: Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigm.ca

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 16/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

DAVID J. BRIDGE PRINT NAME #10-2100 Boucherie Rd. West Kelowicz, BC V4T 2X1 Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca cc:

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: JAC 16/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

#10-2100 Barcherie Rd. West Kelowna, BC VHT 2XI PRINT NAME

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street. Ontario K1A 0G5

fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jone Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9EZ

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular the proposed annual investment limits for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to any restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards.

ALG CROMPTON

PRINT NAME

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 3, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards ineth G

5161 Pine Crescent, Vancouver, B.C. V6M 3P7

cc: Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigm.ca

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

SUSAN E CROMPTON PRINT NAME

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the lisuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to any restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

PRINTAMA

Con SANO

comments@osc.gov.on.ca

The Secretary Ontario Securities Commission 22nd Fir., 20 Queen St. West Toronto, Ontario M5H 3S8

<u>loe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u>

The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5

fin.minister@gov.bc.ca

The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 10, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular the proposed annual investment limits for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the lssuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards, PRINT NAME ATA-SH 1

cc: Ryan Lee rvan@teamparadigm.ca

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 16/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

CC:

mHobson Margaret Hobson Kelauna, BC VIW 143 PRINT NAME

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

ROB JOHNSON

PRINT NAME

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 20/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

RIAN **PRINT NAME**

195 Aper Drive Ren Neer. AB TUPILS

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

June 20/14 Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to any restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

E DANNE KEEN 1E Danne Geen PRINT NAME

195 PIPER Drive Red Deer AB-TYPILS

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

The 17/14 Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>anv</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

PRINT NAME Poplar Point Drive Drig, BC VIY 141

Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm_ca cc:

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: JUR, 13th, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

AME 2289 Rhonda Cault Reawine, BC NIY TYD. PRINT NAME Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca CC:

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

The 13th, 2014 Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

<u>FREDERICK GERALD</u> LINK 2289 Rhondda Court. Kelowna, BC NH TYZ. PRINT NAME Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca CC:

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

McCarthi **PRINT NAME**

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance • Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: JUNE 3, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

JIM MCAUGHAN PRINT NAME

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: The 20/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

PRINT NAME 390 POPIER POINT DEVE Kelaure, BC VIY IVI

Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca cc:

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: The 20th /14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

enzie 1 Poplar Abint Drive 2009, BC VIVIVI PRINT NAME

comments2055 an cansa The Secretary Oritario Securities Commission 22 ^o Fin, 20 Queen St. West Toronto, Onterio HSH 358 ice Officeré finance The Honorable Soe Officer Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 Of Connor Street Ontario K1A 065 Inn metri in t<u>Olyments</u> (*) The Hone rable Michiler de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Bex 9048 Stn. Prev. Gau't Victoria, BC. VBW 952

Daté:

Dear Sits/Mestames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to communit on the proposed animalments to NI 45-106, in particular the geopoxed annual investment langts for non-accredited investors and restricting EMOs from being able to see securities of related insuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own ofter tax dollars. These needs invested in a BC MiC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and fissibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech versures and biotech are headly risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unrelianable. I Selieve that regulation should provide overlight to the investment sector, but should most detailed and leader I want, even if the dealer is related to the post-

As a current investor with a Microgage Investment Corporation in GC, I am opposed to gry restrictions involved on my investment docisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment deplet.

This submission is being mady on my own behalf. Please first free to contact the should you wish further comment.

Regards,

*c*c-

lames A. Viet WONT NAME

Ryanter punglanisatern adams. Nyan@teanisaradianisca

James Prette 250-812-6293

Ioe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable foe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 065 fin.minister@gov.bc.co The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular the proposed annual investment limits for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MiC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tach ventures and blotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their indusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to any restrictions Imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, Independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

Lewis Rimicosi HAUANERS PRINT NAME

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. GoV'T Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: JUR 17/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>Investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>anv</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Bryson Ro 55 Lotus Street Victoria. BC V9A 1P3 PRINT NÅME Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm.ca CC:



Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 17/14

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Jessica Robert Lotus Street Oria, BC 194 193 PRINT NAME cc: Ryan Lee ryan@teamparadigmparadigm_ca

Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 13, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards, PRINT NAME

403-2330 Butt Road. IVES West Kelaure, BC VHT 213

comments@osc.gov.on.ca

The Secretary Ontario Securities Commission 22nd Fir., 20 Queen St. West Toronto, Ontario MSH 3S8

Joe.Oliver@fin.ec.ca

The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5

fin.minister@gov.bc.ca

The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date: June 10, 2014

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and blotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the lssuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

PRINT NAME Imber Ryan Lee fyan@teamparadigm.ca CC:

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the lssuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

, Colin WARDEN

PRINT NAME

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

OBRAINE WARREN PRINT NAME

<u>Joe.Oliver@fin.gc.ca</u> The Honorable Joe Oliver Minister of Finance Department of Finance Canada 140 O'Connor Street, Ontario K1A 0G5 fin.minister@gov.bc.ca The Honorable Michael de Jong Minister of Finance P.O. Box 9048 Stn. Prov. Gov't Victoria, BC V8W 9E2

Date:

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re: CSA & OSC Proposed Amendments Relating to the Offering Memorandum Exemption

I am writing to comment on the proposed amendments to NI 45-106, in particular <u>the proposed annual</u> <u>investment limits</u> for non-accredited investors and restricting EMDs from being able to sell securities of related issuers.

The regulations should not be setting restrictive limits on what people can or can't invest in with their own after tax dollars. I have been invested in a BC MIC for years and have never experienced a loss. These restrictions, as proposed, are prohibitive and don't consider the specific circumstances of individual investors. They prohibit diversification and flexibility within an investor's portfolio. Mining stocks, tech ventures and biotech are hugely risky, yet there are no limits on their inclusion in a portfolio. This is unfair and unreasonable. I believe that regulation should provide oversight to the investment sector, but should most definitely not impose arbitrary limits or single out the private investment market. Further, I wish to choose the dealer I want, even if the dealer is related to the Issuer.

As a current investor with a Mortgage Investment Corporation in BC, I am opposed to <u>any</u> restrictions imposed on my investment decisions and amounts. I should have the freedom to make informed, independent choices regarding my investment and my investment dealer.

This submission is being made on my own behalf. Please feel free to contact me should you wish further comment.

Regards,

1 Militano

PRINT NAME